

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.J. Box 1450 Arcandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/802,485	03/09/2001	Burton S. Kaliski JR.	RSA-052	5894	
7	7590 09/13/2005		EXAMINER		
Eric L. Prahl, Esq. HALE AND DORR LLP			KLIMACH, PAULA W		
60 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, MA 02109			2135		
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/802,485	KALISKI, BURTON S.	KALISKI, BURTON S.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_		
Paula W. Klimach	2135			

	Paula VV. Kilmach	2135						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Nation of Appeal was filed on A brief in com-	nliance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	a filad within two man	the of the date					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	· · ·		because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		OTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-20,31,38-41 and 43-48</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								

10

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argued that the secret sharing scheme disclosed by Shamir actually requires the first or second entity computing the secret to simultaneously know both D1 and D2. This is not found persuasive. Shamir teaches a system of calculating D incrementally using the function q(x). Therefore each of the members caclulates their portion of D by caclulating the result of the function q(x). Shamir discloses that each candidate can calculate one and only one polynomial (page 613 column 1 section 2 lines 24-31).

New claim 48 is rejected in that D is calculated from the incremented calculation of the funtion Q(x).

SUPERVISORY PATERT EXAMINER

TECHNOLUGY CENTER 2100